

Problem 1

(a) Generate a vector \mathbf{x} containing 1000 independent replicates of a $N(10, 4^2)$ random variable. Draw a histogram of \mathbf{x} using the `prob=TRUE` option. I suggest that you set `breaks=20` in the `hist` command — see `help(hist)` for an explanation of what this does.

Superimpose the probability density function of the $N(10, 4^2)$ distribution on your histogram.

(b) Generate $\mathbf{y1}$ containing 400 realisations of a $N(3, 3^2)$ random variable, $\mathbf{y2}$ containing 400 realisations of a $N(5, 4^2)$ RV, and $\mathbf{y3}$ containing 400 realisations of a $N(7, 5^2)$ RV, all variables being independent. Calculate $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{y1}+\mathbf{y2}+\mathbf{y3}$ and draw a histogram of \mathbf{w} , using the `breaks` option to get a suitable number of bars in the histogram.

(c) Guess the distribution of $W = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$ when $Y_1 \sim N(3, 9)$, $Y_2 \sim N(5, 16)$ and $Y_3 \sim N(7, 25)$ are independent RVs.

Re-draw the histogram of \mathbf{w} using the `prob=TRUE` option and superimpose the probability density function for your chosen distribution. Remember, in the `curve` command you have to give a function of x — not w — to be plotted (see “Plotting commands” on page 1).

(d) Carry out further simulations to give a more definitive check that your chosen PDF really is correct.

In your submission: In a Word document, include the content of the R console window showing R commands and the resulting output. You should also include the histograms of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{w} from the graphics window and an explanation for your choice of distribution in part (c).

See “Graphics”, “Writing a plot directly to a file” and “Combining material in your coursework solutions” in the **Brief Introduction to R**.

Problem 2

Hermione and Ron have won prizes with random values. Hermione’s prize will be $H = 100 \exp(X)$ Sickles and Ron’s prize will be $R = 100 \exp(Y)$ Sickles, where X and Y are independent $N(0, 0.3^2)$ random variables.

(a) Write R commands to simulate one pair of values of (H, R) and compute $W = H/R$. Create a loop to run the above commands 200 times. Store the 200 values of H in a vector *Hsample*, the 200 values of R in a vector *Rsample* and store the ratios, W , in a vector *Wsample*.

Draw histograms of the data in *Hsample*, *Rsample* and *Wsample*.

(b) Professor Slughorn claims the data generated in *Wsample* should follow a distribution with PDF $f_W(w) = 0$ for $w \leq 0$ and

$$f_W(w) = \frac{1}{w\sqrt{0.036}\pi} \exp\{-(\log(w))^2/0.36\} \quad \text{for } w > 0. \quad (1)$$

Investigate Professor Slughorn's claim by superimposing the above density on a histogram of *Wsample*. In order to obtain a useful plot, you may find it necessary to restrict attention to values of *W* below an upper limit, such as 5. You can do this with the command

```
hist(Wsample[Wsample<5],prob=TRUE).
```

Do your results support the Professor's theory?

(c)

Professor Slughorn explains that the CDF corresponding to the PDF (1) is

$$F_W(w) = \Phi\left(\frac{\log(w)}{\sqrt{0.18}}\right)$$

where Φ is the standard normal CDF.

Evaluate the function $F_W(w)$ at $w \in \{0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2\}$. Find the proportions of values in *Wsample* less than each of these values of w and plot the proportions against $F_W(0.2), F_W(0.4), \dots, F_W(1.8), F_W(2)$. What does this plot show?

(d) Repeat the comparison conducted in part (c) for larger data sets and state whether you believe Professor Slughorn's claim is correct.

In your submission: Give the content of the R console window; include the relevant plots; give clear written answers in parts (b), (c) and (d).