Computational Logic

The (ISO-)Prolog Programming Language

(ISO-)Prolog

- A practical logic language based on the logic programming paradigm.
- Main differences with “pure” logic programming:
  - ◇ more control on the execution flow,
  - ◇ depth-first search rule, left-to-right control rule,
  - ◇ some pre-defined predicates are not declarative (generally for efficiency),
  - ◇ higher-order and meta-logical capabilities,
  - ◇ no occur check in unification; but often regular (i.e., infinite) trees supported.
- Advantages:
  - ◇ it can be compiled into fast and efficient code,
  - ◇ more expressive power,
  - ◇ industry standard (ISO-Prolog),
  - ◇ mature implementations with modules, graphical environments, interfaces, ...
- Drawbacks: *incompleteness* (due to depth-first search rule),
  possible *unsoundness* (if no occur check and regular trees not supported).

Programming interface (writing and running programs)

- Not specified in the language standard.
- Specific to the particular system implementing the language.
- Covers issues such as:
  - User interaction (top-level, GUI, etc.).
  - Interpreter(s).
  - Compiler(s).
  - Debugger(s).
  - *(Module system.)*
- Different Prolog systems offer different facilities for these purposes.

The ISO Standard (Overview)

- Arithmetic
- Type checking and state checking
- Structure inspection
- Term comparison
- Input/Output
- Meta-calls and aggregation predicates
- Dynamic program modification
- Control structures (cut, true, fail, ...)
- Exception handling

Additionally (not in standard):

- Definite Clause Grammars (DCGs): parsing

Built-in Arithmetic

- Practicality: interface to the underlying CPU arithmetic capabilities.
- These arithmetic operations are not as general as their logical counterparts.
- Interface: evaluator of arithmetic terms.
- The *type* of *arithmetic terms*:
  - ◇ a number is an arithmetic term,
  - ◇ if f is an n-ary arithmetic functor and $X_1, ..., X_n$ are arithmetic terms then $f(X_1, ..., X_n)$ is an arithmetic term.
- Arithmetic functors: `+`, `-`, `*`, `/` (float quotient), `//` (integer quotient), `mod`, and more. Examples:
  - ◇ `(3*X+Y)/Z`, correct if *when evaluated* `X`, `Y` and `Z` are arithmetic terms, otherwise it will raise an error.
  - ◇ `a+3*X` raises an error (because `a` is not an arithmetic term).

Built-in Arithmetic (Contd.)

- Built-in arithmetic predicates:
  - ◇ the usual `<`, `>`, `=<`, `>=`, `=:=` (arithmetic equal), `=\=` (arithmetic not equal), ...
    Both arguments are evaluated and their results are compared
  - ◇ `Z is X`
    `X` (which must be an arithmetic term) is evaluated and result is unified with `Z`.
- *Examples:* let `X` and `Y` be bound to `3` and `4`, respectively, and `Z` be a free variable:
  - ◇ `Y < X+1, X is Y+1, X =:= Y.` fail (the system will backtrack).
  - ◇ `Y < a+1, X is Z+1, X =:= f(a).` error (abort).

Arithmetic Programs

- `plus(X,Y,Z) :- Z is X + Y`
  - ◇ Only works in one direction (`X` and `Y` bound to arithmetic terms).
  - ◇ Meta-logical tests (see later) allow using it in both directions.
  - ◇ We have lost the recursive structure of the numbers.
  - ◇ But we have won (a lot) in performance!
- Factorial:

Using Peano arithmetic:

```
factorial(0,s(0)).
factorial(s(N),F):-
    factorial(N,F1),
    times(s(N),F1,F).
```

Using Prolog arithmetic:

```
factorial(0,1).
factorial(N,F):-
    N > 0,
    N1 is N-1,
    factorial(N1,F1),
    F is F1*N.
```

- Wrong goal order can raise an error (e.g., moving last call to `is/2` before call to factorial).

Type Checking Predicates

- Unary relations which *check* the type of a term:
  - ◇ `integer(X)`
  - ◇ `float(X)`
  - ◇ `number(X)`
  - ◇ `atom(X)` (nonvariable term of arity 0 other than a number)
  - ◇ `atomic(X)` atom or number
  - ◇ ...
- They behave as if defined by a (possibly infinite) table of facts (in part, see below).
- They either succeed or fail, but do not produce an error.
- Thus, they cannot be used to *generate* (e.g., if argument is a variable, they fail instead of instantiating it to possible values).
- This behaviour is outside first order logic because it allows checking the instantiation state of a variable.

Type Checking Predicates (Contd.)

- *Example:* implementing a better behavior for `plus/3`:

```
plus(X,Y,Z):- number(X),number(Y), Z is X + Y.
plus(X,Y,Z):- number(X),number(Z), Y is Z - X.
plus(X,Y,Z):- number(Y),number(Z), X is Z - Y.
```

  Then:

```
?- plus(3,Y,5).
Y = 2 ?
```

- Still, it cannot be used to partition a number into two others:

```
?- plus(X,Y,5).
no
```

  (in fact, this should raise an error, rather than simply failing).

Structure Inspection

- `functor(X, F, A)`:
  - ◇ `X` is a compound term `f(X1,...,Xn)` → `F=f A = n`
  - ◇ `F` is the atom `f` and `A` is the integer `n` → `X = f(X1,..,Xn)`
  - ◇ Error if `X`, and either `F` or `A` are variables
  - ◇ Fails if the unification fails, `A` is not an integer, or `F` is not an atom

  Examples:
  - ◇ `functor(t(b,a),F,A)` → `F=t, A=2.`
  - ◇ `functor(Term,f,3)` → `Term = f(_,_,_).`
  - ◇ `functor(Vector,v,100)` → `Vector = v(_, ... ,_).`

  (Note: in some systems functor arity is limited to 256)

Structure Inspection (Contd.)

- `arg(N, X, Arg)`:
  - `N` integer, `X` compound term → `Arg` unified with n-th argument of `X`.
  - Allows accessing a structure argument in constant time and in a compact way.
  - Error if `N` is not an integer, or if `X` is a free variable.
  - Fails if the unification fails.

  Examples:

```
?- _T=date(9,February,1947), arg(3,_T,X).
X = 1947
?- _T=date(9,February,1947), _T=date(_,_,X).
X = 1947
?- functor(Array,array,5),
     arg(1,Array,black),
     arg(5,Array,white).
Array = array(black,_,_,_,white).
```

- What does `?- arg(2,[a,b,c,d],X).` return?

Example of Structure Inspection

- Define `subterm(Sub,Term)` (`Term` will always be a compound term):

```
subterm(Term,Term).
subterm(Sub,Term):-
    functor(Term,F,N),
    subterm(N,Sub,Term).

subterm(N,Sub,Term):-
    arg(N,Term,Arg),    % also checks N > 0 (arg/1 fails otherwise!)
    subterm(Sub,Arg).
subterm(N,Sub,Term):-
    N>1,
    N1 is N-1,
    subterm(N1,Sub,Term).
```

Example of Structure Access

- Define `add_arrays(A1,A2,A3)`:

```
add_arrays(A1,A2,A3):-    % Same N imposes equal length:
    functor(A1,array,N), functor(A2,array,N), functor(A3,array,N),
    add_elements(N,A1,A2,A3).

add_elements(0,_A1,_A2,_A3).
add_elements(I,A1,A2,A3):-
     I>0, arg(I,A1,X1), arg(I,A2,X2), arg(I,A3,X3),
     X3 is X1 + X2, I1 is I - 1,
     add_elements(I1,A1,A2,A3).
```

- Alternative, using lists instead of structures:

```
add_arrays_lists([],[],[]).
add_arrays_lists([X|Xs],[Y|Ys],[Z|Zs]):-
    Z is X + Y,
    add_arrays_lists(Xs,Ys,Zs).
```

- In the latter case, where do we check that the three lists are of equal length?

Higher-Order Structure Inspection

- `T =.. L` (known as "univ")
  - `L` is the decomposition of a term `T` into a list comprising its principal functor followed by its arguments.

```
?- date(9,february,1947) =.. L.
L = [date,9,february,1947].
?- _F = '+', X =.. [_F,a,b].
X = a + b.
```

  - Allows *implementing* higher-order primitives (see later).
    Example: Extending derivative

```
derivative(sin(X),X,cos(X)).
derivative(cos(X),X,-sin(X)).
derivative(FG_X, X, DF_G * DG_X):-
    FG_X =.. [_, G_X],
    derivative(FG_X, G_X, DF_G), derivative(G_X, X, DG_X).
```

  - But *do not use* unless strictly necessary: expensive in time and memory.

Conversion Between Strings and Atoms (New Atom Creation)

- Classical primitive: `name(A,S)`
  - ◇ `A` is the atom/number whose name is the list of ASCII characters `S`

```
?- name(hello,S).
S = [104,101,108,108,111]
?- name(A,[104,101,108,108,111]).
A = hello
?- name(A,"hello").
A = hello
```

  - ◇ Ambiguity when converting strings which represent numbers.
    Example: `?- name('1',X), name(Y,X).`
  - ◇ In the ISO standard fixed by dividing into two:
    - `atom_codes(Atom,String)`
    - `number_codes(Number,String)`

Meta-Logical Predicates

- `var(X)`: succeed iff `X` is a free variable.

```
?- var(X), X = f(a).   % Succeeds
?- X = f(a), var(X).   % Fails
```

- `nonvar(X)`: succeed iff `X` is not a free variable.

```
?- X = f(Y), nonvar(X). % Succeeds
```

- `ground(X)`: succeed iff `X` is fully instantiated.

```
?- X = f(Y), ground(X). % Fails
```

- Outside the scope of first order logic.
- Uses:
  - ◇ control goal order,
  - ◇ restore some flexibility to programs using certain builtins.

Meta-Logical Predicates (Contd.)

- Example:

```
length(Xs,N):-
    var(Xs), integer(N), length_num(N,Xs).
length(Xs,N):-
    nonvar(Xs), length_list(Xs,N).

length_num(0,[]).
length_num(N,[_|Xs]):-
    N > 0, N1 is N - 1, length_num(N1,Xs).

length_list([],0).
length_list([X|Xs],N):-
    length_list(Xs,N1), N is N1 + 1.
```

- But note that it is not really needed: the normal definition of length is actually reversible! (although less efficient than length_num(N,L) when L is a variable).

Comparing Non-ground Terms

- Many applications need comparisons between non–ground/non–numeric terms.
- Identity tests:
  - ◇ `X == Y` (identical)
  - ◇ `X \== Y` (not identical)

```
?- f(X) == f(X). %Succeeds
?- f(X) == f(Y). %Fails
```

- Term ordering:
  - ◇ `X @> Y, X @>= Y, X @< Y, X @=< Y` (alphabetic/lexicographic order)

```
?- f(a) @> f(b).  %Fails
?- f(b) @> f(a).  %Succeeds
?- f(X) @> f(Y).  %Implementation dependent!
```

Comparing Non-ground Terms (Contd.)

- Reconsider `subterm/2` with non-ground terms

```
subterm(Sub,Term):-  Sub == Term.
subterm(Sub,Term):-  nonvar(Term),
                     functor(Term,F,N),
                     subterm(N,Sub,Term).
```

where `subterm/3` is identical to the previous definition

- Insert an item into an ordered list:

```
insert([], Item, [Item]).
insert([H|T], Item, [H|T]):- H == Item.
insert([H|T], Item, [Item, H|T]):- H @> Item.
insert([H|T], Item, [H|NewT]) :- H @< Item, insert(T, Item, NewT).
```

- Compare with the same program with the second clause defined as

```
insert([H|T], Item, [Item|T]):- H = Item.
```


Input/Output

- A minimal set of input-output predicates ("DEC-10 Prolog I/O"):

Class	Predicate	Explanation
I/O stream control	`see(File)`	File becomes the current input stream.
	`seeing(File)`	The current input stream is File.
	`seen`	Close the current input stream.
	`tell(File)`	File becomes the current output stream.
	`telling(File)`	The current output stream is File.
	`told`	Close the current output stream.
Term I/O	`write(X)`	Write the term `X` on the current output stream.
	`nl`	Start a new line on the current output stream.
	`read(X)`	Read a term (finished by a full stop) from the current input stream and unify it with X.
Character I/O	`put_code(N)`	Write the ASCII character code N. N can be a string of length one.
	`get_code(N)`	Read the next character code and unify its ASCII code with N.

Input/Output (Contd.)

- Other stream-based input-output predicates:

Class	Predicate	Explanation
I/O stream control	`open(File,M,S)`	Open ‘File’ with mode `M` and return in `S` the stream associated with the file. `M` may be `read`, `write` or `append`.
	`close(Stream)`	Close the stream ‘Stream’.
Term I/O	`write(S,X)`	Write the term `X` on stream `S`.
	`nl(S)`	Start a new line on stream `S`.
	`read(S,X)`	Read a term (finished by a full stop) from the stream `S` and unify it with `X`.
Character I/O	`put_code(S,N)`	Write the ASCII character code `N` on stream `S`.
	`get_code(S,N)`	Read from stream `S` the next character code and unify its ASCII code with `N`.

Input/Output (Contd.)

- Example:

```
write_list_to_file(L,F) :-
    telling(OldOutput),             % Grab current output stream.
    tell(F), write_list(L), told,   % Write into F, close.
    tell(OldOutput).                % Reset previous output stream.

write_list([]).
write_list([X|Xs]):- write(X), nl, write_list(Xs).
```

- More powerful and format-based input-output predicates are available (see, e.g., `format/2` and `format/3` –Prolog system manuals).
- All these input-output predicates are “side-effects”!

Meta–calls and Implementing Higher Order

- The meta-call `call(X)` converts a term `X` into a goal and calls it.
- When called, `X` must be instantiated to a term, otherwise an error is reported.
- Used for meta-programming, specially interpreters and shells.
  Also for defining negation (as we will see) and *implementing* higher order.
- Example:

```
q(a).                    p(X) :- call(X).
?- p(q(Y)).
Y = a
```

- Example:

```
q(a,b).                    apply(F,Args) :- G =.. [F|Args], call(G).
?- apply(q,[Y,Z]).
Y = a
Z = b
```


Meta–calls – Aggregation Predicates

- Other meta-calls are, e.g., `findall/3`, `bagof/3`, and `setof/3`.
- `findall(Term, Goal, ListResults)`: `ListResults` is the set of all instances of `Term` such that Goal is satisfied
  - ◇ If there are no instances of `Term` `ListResults` is `[]`
  - ◇ For termination, the number of solutions should be finite (and enumerable in finite time).

```
likes(bill, cider).        ?- findall(X, likes(X,Y), S).
likes(dick, beer).         S = [bill,dick,tom,tom,harry,jan] ?
likes(tom, beer).          yes
likes(tom, cider).         ?- findall(X, likes(X,water), S).
likes(harry, beer).        S = [] ?
likes(jan, cider).         yes
                           ?-
```

Meta–calls – Aggregation Predicates (Contd.)

- `setof(Term, Goal, ListResults)`: `ListResults` is the ordered set (no duplicates) of all instances of `Term` such that Goal is satisfied
  - ◇ If there are no instances of `Term` the predicate fails
  - ◇ The set should be finite (and enumerable in finite time)
  - ◇ If there are un-instantiated variables in `Goal` which do not also appear in `Term` then a call to this built-in predicate may backtrack, generating alternative values for `ListResults` corresponding to different instantiations of the free variables of `Goal`
  - ◇ Variables in `Goal` will not be treated as free if they are explicitly bound within `Goal` by an existential quantifier as in `Y^...`
    (then, they behave as in `findall/3`)
- `bagof/3` same, but returns list unsorted and with duplicates (in backtracking order)

Meta-calls – Aggregation Predicates: Examples

```
likes(bill, cider).
likes(dick, beer).
likes(harry, beer).
likes(jan, cider).
likes(tom, beer).
likes(tom, cider).
```

```
?- setof(X, likes(X,Y), S).
S = [dick,harry,tom],
Y = beer ? ;
S = [bill,jan,tom],
Y = cider ? ;
no

?- setof((Y,S), setof(X, likes(X,Y), S), SS).
SS = [(beer,[dick,harry,tom]),
      (cider,[bill,jan,tom])] ? ;
no

?- setof(X, Y^(likes(X,Y)), S).
S = [bill,dick,harry,jan,tom] ? ;
no
```

Meta-calls – Negation as Failure

- Uses the meta-call facilities, the cut and a system predicate `fail` that fails when executed (similar to calling `a=b`).

```
not(Goal) :- call(Goal), !, fail.
not(Goal).
```

- Available as the (prefix) predicate `\+/1`: `\+ member(c, [a,k,l])`
- It will never instantiate variables.
- Termination of `not(Goal)` depends on termination of `Goal`. `not(Goal)` will terminate if a success node for `Goal` is found before an infinite branch.
- It is very useful but dangerous:

```
unmarried_student(X):- not(married(X)), student(X).
student(joe).
married(john).
```

- Works properly for ground goals (programmer's responsibility to ensure this).

Cut-Fail

- Cut-fail combinations allow forcing the failure of a predicate — somehow specifying a negative answer (useful but very dangerous!).
- Example – testing groundness: fail as soon as a free variable is found.

```
ground(Term):- var(Term), !, fail.
ground(Term):-
    nonvar(Term),
    functor(Term,F,N),
    ground(N,Term).

ground(0,T).        %% All subterms traversed
ground(N,T):-
    N>0,
    arg(N,T,Arg),
    ground(Arg),
    N1 is N-1,
    ground(N1,T).
```

Repeat Loops

- `repeat` always succeeds: it has infinite answers.
- Used to implement loops: make use of backtracking to iterate by failing repeatedly.
- Example – reading loop:

```
read_loop :-
        repeat,
            read(X),
            process(X),
        X == end_of_file,
        !.

process(end_of_file):- !.
process(X):- ... <deterministic computation> ...
```


Dynamic Program Modification (I)

- `assert/1`, `retract/1`, `abolish/1`, ...
- Very powerful: allows run–time modification of programs. Can also be used to simulate global variables.
- <u>Some</u>times this is very useful, but very often a mistake:
  - ◇ Code hard to read, hard to understand, hard to debug.
  - ◇ Typically, slow.
- Program modification has to be used scarcely, carefully, locally.
- Still, assertion and retraction can be logically justified in some cases:
  - ◇ Assertion of clauses which logically follow from the program. (*lemmas*)
  - ◇ Retraction of clauses which are logically redundant.
- Other typically non-harmful use: simple global switches.
- Behavior/requirements may differ between Prolog implementations.
  Typically, the predicate must be declared `:- dynamic`.

Dynamic Program Modification (II)

- Example program:

```
relate_numbers(X, Y):- assert(related(X, Y)).
unrelate_numbers(X, Y):- retract(related(X, Y)).
```

- Example query:

```
?- related(1, 2).
{EXISTENCE ERROR: ...}
?- relate_numbers(1, 2).
yes
?- related(1, 2).
yes
?- unrelate_numbers(1, 2).
yes
?- related(1, 2).
no
```

- Rules can be asserted dynamically as well.

Dynamic Program Modification (III)

- Example program:

```
fib(0, 0).
fib(1, 1).
fib(N, F):-
    N > 1,
    N1 is N - 1,
    N2 is N1 - 1,
    fib(N1, F1),
    fib(N2, F2),
    F is F1 + F2.
```

```
lfib(N, F):-  lemma_fib(N, F), !.
lfib(N, F):-
    N > 1,
    N1 is N - 1,
    N2 is N1 - 1,
    lfib(N1, F1),
    lfib(N2, F2),
    F is F1 + F2,
    assert(lemma_fib(N, F)).
:- dynamic lemma_fib/2.
lemma_fib(0, 0). lemma_fib(1, 1).
```

- Compare `fib(24,N)` versus `lfib(24,N)`

Meta-Interpreters

- `clause(`*head*`,`*body*`)`:
  - Reads a clause *head* `:-` *body* from the program.
  - For facts *body* is `true`.
- To use `clause/2` a predicate must be declared `dynamic`.
- Simple ("vanilla") meta-interpreter:

```
solve(true).
solve((A,B)) :-  solve(A), solve(B).
solve(A) :-  clause(A,B), solve(B).
```

- This code can be enhanced to do many tasks: tracing, debugging, explanations in expert systems, implementing other computation rules, ...
- Issues / interactions with module system.

Parsing (using append and traditional lists)

```
%% ?- myphrase([t,h,e,' ',p,l,a,n,e,' ',f,l,i,e,s]).

myphrase(X) :-
        append(A,T1,X), article(A), append(SP,T2,T1), spaces(SP),
        append(N,T3,T2), noun(N), append(SPN,V,T3), spaces(SPN), verb(V).

article([a]).
article([t,h,e]).

spaces([' ']).
spaces([' ' | Y]) :- spaces(Y).

noun([c,a,r]).
noun([p,l,a,n,e]).

verb([f,l,i,e,s]).
verb([d,r,i,v,e,s]).
```

Parsing (using standard clauses and difference lists)

```
%% ?- myphrase([t,h,e,' ',p,l,a,n,e,' ',f,l,i,e,s],[]).

myphrase(X,CV) :-
        article(X,CA), spaces(CA,CS1), noun(CS1,CN),
        spaces(CN,CS2), verb(CS2,CV).

article([t,h,e|X],X).
article([a|X],X).

spaces([' ' | X],X).
spaces([' ' | Y],X) :- spaces(Y,X).

noun([p,l,a,n,e | X],X).
noun([c,a,r | X],X).

verb([f,l,i,e,s | X],X).
verb([d,r,i,v,e,s | X],X).
```


Parsing (same, using some string syntax)

```
%% ?- myphrase("the plane flies",[]).

myphrase(X,CV) :-
        article(X,CA), spaces(CA,CS1), noun(CS1,CN),
        spaces(CN,CS2), verb(CS2,CV).

article( "the" || X, X).
article( "a"   || X, X).

spaces( " "    || X, X).
spaces( " "    || Y, X) :- spaces(Y, X).

noun( "plane"  || X, X).
noun( "car"    || X, X).

verb( "flies"  || X, X).
verb( "drives" || X, X).
```

Parsing (same, using additional syntax: DCGs)

- Add syntactic transformation to avoid writing all the auxiliary variables. The result is called **Definite Clause Grammars** (“DCGs”).

```
%% ?- myphrase("the plane flies",[]).
%% or, use ‘‘phrase/2’’ builtin:
%% ?- phrase(myphrase,"the plane flies").

:- use_package(dcg).

myphrase --> article, spaces, noun, spaces, verb.

article --> "the".              spaces --> " ".
article --> "a".                spaces --> " ", spaces.

noun --> "plane".               verb --> "flies".
noun --> "car".                 verb --> "drives".
```


Parsing + actions (calling Prolog in DCGs)

- Other actions can be interspersed with the grammar. Raw Prolog can be called (between “{ ... }”)

```
%% ?- myphrase(NChars,"the plane flies",[]).
%% ?- phrase(myphrase(N),"the plane flies").

:- use_package(dcg).

myphrase(N) --> article(AC), spaces(S1), noun(NC), spaces(S2),
                verb(VC), { N is AC + S1 + NC + S2 + VC}.

article(3) --> "the".      spaces(1) --> " ".
article(1) --> "a".        spaces(N) --> " ", spaces(N1), { N is N1+1 }

noun(5) --> "plane".       verb(5) --> "flies".
noun(3) --> "car".         verb(6) --> "drives".
```

Creating Executables

- Most systems have methods for creating 'executables':
  - Saved states (`save/1`, `save_program/2`, etc.).
  - Stand-alone compilers (e.g., `ciaoc`).
  - Scripts (e.g., `prolog-shell`).
  - "Run-time" systems.
  - etc.

Other issues in Prolog (see "The Art of Prolog" and Bibliography)

- Exception handling.
- Extending the syntax beyond operators: term expansions/macros.
- Delay declarations/concurrency.
- Operating system interface (and sockets, etc.).
- Foreign language (e.g., C) interfaces.
- Many other built-ins...
- ...

Some Typical Libraries in Prolog Systems

- Most systems have a good set of libraries.
- Worth checking before re-implementing existing functionality!
- Some examples:

Arrays	Assoc	Attributes	Heaps
Lists	Term Utilities	Ordset	Queues
Random	System Utilities	Tree	UGraphs
WGraphs	Sockets	Linda/Distribution	Persistent DB
CLPB	CLPQR	CLPFD	Objects
GCLA	TclTk	Tracing	Chars I/O
Runtime Utilities	Timeout	Xrefs	WWW
Java Interface	...	...	...

Some Additional Libraries and Extensions (Ciao)

Other systems may offer additional extensions. Some examples from Ciao:

- Other execution rules:
  - ◇ Breadth-first execution
  - ◇ Iterative-deepening execution
  - ◇ Fuzzy Prolog, MYCIN rules, ...
  - ◇ Andorra (“determinate-first”) execution
- Interfaces to other languages and systems:
  - ◇ C, Java, ... interfaces
  - ◇ Persistent predicates and SQL database interface
  - ◇ Web/HTML/XML/CGI programming (PiLLoW) / HTTP connectivity
  - ◇ Interface to VRML (ProVRML)
  - ◇ Tcl/Tk interface
  - ◇ daVinci interface
  - ◇ Calling emacs from Prolog, etc.

Some Additional Libraries and Extensions (Ciao, Contd.)

- Numerous libraries as well as syntactic and semantic extensions:
  - Terms with named arguments -records/feature terms
  - Multiple argument indexing
  - Functional notation
  - Higher-order
  - The script interpreter
  - Active modules (high-level distributed execution)
  - Concurrency/multithreading
  - Object oriented programming
  - ...

Some Additional Libraries and Extensions (Ciao, Contd.)

- Constraint programming (CLP)
  - rationals, reals, finite domains, ...
- Assertions:
  - Regular types
  - Modes
  - Properties which are native to analyzers
  - Run-time checking of assertions
- Advanced programming support:
  - Compile-time type, mode, and property inference and checking, ... (CiaoPP).
  - Automatic documentation (LPdoc).
  - ...