

PRODUCTOS DIRECTOS

Sean G_1 y G_2 grupos. El producto cartesiano
 $G_1 \times G_2 = \{(g_1, g_2) / g_1 \in G_1 \wedge g_2 \in G_2\}$

Definimos la operación:

$$(g_1, g_2) \cdot (g'_1, g'_2) = (g_1 g'_1, g_2 g'_2)$$

Con esta operación $G_1 \times G_2$ adquiere estructura de grupo.

- Elemento neutro: $(1, 1) = (1_{G_1}, 1_{G_2})$
- Inverso de (g_1, g_2) : $(g_1, g_2)^{-1} = (g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1})$

PROPIEDADES

- 1) $|G_1 \times G_2| = |G_1| |G_2|$
- 2) $G_1 \times \{1\}$ (resp. $\{1\} \times G_2$) son subgrupos de $G_1 \times G_2$
Además son subgrupos normales

Para comprobarlo tenemos que ver que

$$(g_1, g_2) \cdot (G_1 \times \{1\}) (g_1, g_2)^{-1} \subseteq G_1 \times \{1\}$$

para todo $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Veámoslo:

Sea $(g, 1) \in G_1 \times \{1\}$ arbitrario,

$$(g_1, g_2) (g, 1) (g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1}) = (g_1 g g_1^{-1}, g_2 \cdot 1 \cdot g_2^{-1}) =$$

$$= (g_1 g g_1^{-1}, 1) \in G_1 \times \{1\}$$

C. Q. D.

OBSERVACIÓN:

Como $G_1 \times \{1\} \triangleleft G_1 \times G_2$ tiene sentido hacer

el cociente: $\frac{G_1 \times G_2}{G_1 \times \{1\}} \cong G_2$
¿y por qué?

$\pi: G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow G_2$ es hom. sury
 $(g_1, g_2) \longmapsto g_2$

1er T. de isomofia:

$$\frac{G_1 \times G_2}{\ker \pi} = \frac{G_1 \times G_2}{G_1 \times \{1\}} \simeq \text{Im } \pi = G_2$$

Ejemplo: $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/(2) = C_2$; $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{m} & \longmapsto & (\overline{m}^2, \overline{m}^3) \\ \overline{3} & \longmapsto & (\overline{1}, \overline{0}) \end{array}$$

¿Quién es f^{-1} ?

$$f^{-1}: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$$

$$(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \longmapsto \overline{c} \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{3a+4b}$$

Es más difícil, esto es el T. chino del resto.

T. chino dice que $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \begin{cases} c \equiv a \pmod{2} \\ c \equiv b \pmod{3} \end{cases}$

En nuestro caso (2,3) podemos tomar

$$c = 3a + 4b$$

efectivamente: $f(c) = (\overline{c}^2, \overline{c}^3) = (\overline{a}^2, \overline{b}^3)$

Ejemplo 2: $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{?} \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$

No son isomorfos porque $\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ tiene un elemento de orden 24 y $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ no. (el par $(\overline{1}, \overline{1})$ tiene orden 12)

El elemento $\sqrt{}$ tiene un orden que divide a 12.

• De hecho $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ es el único grupo abeliano de orden 6.
¿Por qué?

Si G es abeliano y $|G|=6$ ha de tener un elemento a , $\text{ord}(a)=2$ y un elemento b , $\text{ord}(b)=3$. entonces

$$(ab)^k = a^k b^k = 1 \Rightarrow k \text{ es un múltiplo de 6}$$

↓
abeliano

$$\Rightarrow G = \langle ab \rangle$$

De hecho los elementos de G son:

$$\underline{a}b, a\underline{b}^2, a^3\underline{b}^3 = \underline{a}, a^4\underline{b}^4 = \underline{b}, a^5\underline{b}^5 = \underline{a}b^2, a^6\underline{b}^6 = \underline{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow G \cong \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}.$$

Ejemplo ¿Y no abelianos de orden 6?

Tenemos al menos $S_3 \cong D_6$

De hecho S_3 es el único no abeliano de orden 6.
(salvo isomorfismo)

Veamos por qué.

Sea G no abeliano con $|G|=6$.

Por la misma razón que antes, deben existir elementos $a, b \in G$ con $\text{ord}(a)=2$ y $\text{ord}(b)=3$.

Debe ocurrir que $G = \langle a, b \rangle$ porque

$\langle a, b \rangle$ contiene al menos los elementos $1, a, b, b^2$ y su orden $|G|=6$ debe ser un múltiplo de $|\langle a, b \rangle|$

De hecho $G = \{1, a, b, b^2, ab, ab^2\}$

(pues, claramente, estos 6 elementos son distintos)

Además $\langle b \rangle$ es el único 3-grupo de Sylow de orden 3

(puesto que $n_3 = 1, 4, 7, \dots$ y $n_3 | 6$)

Así que debemos tener:

$$ab \neq ba \Leftrightarrow aba^{-1} \neq b \Rightarrow aba^{-1} = b^2$$

($ab = ba \Rightarrow G$ abel.)

b y b^2 son los
únicos elementos
de orden 3

$$\text{luego } G = \langle a, b : a^2 = b^3 = 1, aba^{-1} = b^2 \rangle \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow G \cong D_6 \cong S_3 \quad \text{C.Q.D.}$$

A partir de los ejemplos anteriores podemos enunciar la siguiente

PROPOSICIÓN: Salvo isomorfismo, sólo hay dos grupos G con $|G| = 6$:

- 1) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ (si G es abeliano)
- 2) $G \cong S_3$ (si G no es abeliano)

Del mismo modo podemos definir el producto directo de cualquier número finito de grupos:

Definition 5.1. For any finite collection of groups G_1, \dots, G_n we define their direct product to be the group

$$\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n,$$

defined through the binary operation

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n)(g'_1, \dots, g'_n) = (g_1g'_1, \dots, g_ng'_n).$$

Exercise 5.2. Prove that $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i$ is a group, and determine its identity element and the inverse of each of its elements. Prove that it is abelian if and only if each group G_i is abelian. Prove that

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i \right| = \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} |G_i|,$$

and in particular that $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i$ is finite if and only if each group G_i is finite.

Lemma 5.5. Let G_1, \dots, G_n be groups. Fix j with $1 \leq j \leq n$.

(i) The subgroup

$$(31) \quad \{(1, 1, \dots, 1, g_j, 1, \dots, 1) : g_j \in G_j\}$$

is normal in $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i$, and is also canonically isomorphic to G_j . By abuse of notation we denote the subgroup (31) by G_j .

(ii) In the notation of claim (i) we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i \right) / G_j}_{\cong} \cong \prod_{i \neq j} G_i$$

(with i running over the integers from 1 to n that are different from j).

(iii) The surjective homomorphism

$$\pi_j : \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i \rightarrow G_j$$

defined by

$$\pi_j((g_1, \dots, g_n)) := g_j$$

has

$$\ker(\pi_j) \cong \prod_{i \neq j} G_i.$$

(iv) In the notation of claim (i), if x belongs to the subgroup G_j of $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i$ and y belongs to the subgroup G_k of $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i$ for some $k \neq j$, then $xy = yx$.

En el caso particular en el que H, K son subgrupos de un mismo grupo G , tenemos una aplicación

$$f: H \times K \longrightarrow G \\ (h, k) \longmapsto hk$$

En principio f no tiene por qué ser un homomorfismo

¿Recordáis quién es $\text{Im} f$?

Se denotaba por HK

Definition 3.47. Let G be a group and let H and K be subgroups of G . We define a set

$$HK := \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}.$$

Como f no tiene por qué ser un homomorfismo, su $\text{Im} f = HK$ no tiene por qué ser un subgrupo

Teníamos:

Proposition 3.51. Let G be a group and let H and K be subgroups of G . Then HK is a subgroup of G if and only if $HK = KH$.

Corollary 3.53. If H and K are subgroups of G for which K is contained in $N_G(H)$, the set HK is a subgroup of G .

In particular, given a normal subgroup H of G , the subset HK is a subgroup of G for every subgroup K of G .

El siguiente caso es particularmente interesante:

Lema: Sean H, K subgrupos de G con $H \triangleleft G$
(de forma que HK es un subgrupo de G)

Supongamos que i) $H \cap K = \{1\}$

ii) $G = HK$

entonces la aplicación anterior

$$f: H \times K \longrightarrow G \quad (\text{Im } f = HK)$$
$$(h, k) \longmapsto hk$$

es biyectiva.

Demostración

Por hipótesis es suprayectiva

¿e inyectiva?

~~Veamos que $\text{Ker } f = \{(1, 1)\}$
Sea $(h, k) \in \text{Ker } f \Rightarrow f(h, k) = hk = 1 \Rightarrow$~~

~~$\Rightarrow h = k^{-1} \in H \cap K = \{1\} \Rightarrow h = k = 1 \Rightarrow$~~

~~$\Rightarrow \text{Ker } f = \{(1, 1)\}$~~

~~¿Algun problema? Nadie nos ha dicho que f sea un homomorfismo~~

~~(e.g.: $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\} \not\Rightarrow$
 $\begin{matrix} 0 & \longmapsto & 0 \\ x & \longmapsto & 5, \forall x \neq 0 \end{matrix}$ f se inyectiva)~~

Inyectividad (ahora, bien)

$$f(h, k) = f(h', k') \Rightarrow \underline{(h, k) = (h', k')}$$

$$f(h, k) = f(h', k') \Rightarrow hk = h'k' \Rightarrow \underbrace{(h')^{-1}}_H h = \underbrace{k'k^{-1}}_K$$

$$\Rightarrow (h')^{-1} h = k' k^{-1} \in H \cap K = \{1\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (h')^{-1} h = 1 \\ k' k^{-1} = 1 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} h = h' \\ k = k' \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (h, k) = (h', k')$$

Observación: En el caso de grupos finitos una condición para que se cumpla $G = HK$ es pedir $|H||K| = |G|$ porque al ser f inyectiva se tiene $|\text{Im } f| = |HK| = |H \times K| = |H||K| = |G|$.

• Otra forma de decir que f es inyectiva es:

Exercise 5.11. Let H and K be subgroups of a group G and assume that $H \cap K = \{1\}$. Show that every element of HK has a unique expression as a product hk with $h \in H$ and $k \in K$.

Observ. Si $f: H \times K \rightarrow G$ fuera un homomorfismo no sólo tendríamos una forma (un poco) más fácil de probar la inyectividad sino que tendríamos

$$f: H \times K \xrightarrow{\sim} G \text{ (isomorfismo)}$$

(i.e. que G sería el producto directo de sus subgrupos H y K)

¡ Esto va a ocurrir cuando no sólo H es normal, sino que también $K \triangleleft G$!

Corollary 5.14. Let G be a group and let H and K be normal subgroups of G which satisfy both

$$H \cap K = \{1\}$$

and

$$HK = G.$$

Then G is isomorphic to $H \times K$.

Demostración Tenemos que ver que $f: H \times K \rightarrow G = HK$
 $(h, k) \mapsto hk$
 es un homomorfismo.

- $f(h, k) f(h', k') = hk h'k'$
- $f((h, k)(h', k')) = f(hh', kk') = hh'kk'$

Tenemos que convenceremos de que
 $hk h'k' = hh'kk' \Leftrightarrow kh' = \underline{h'k} \Leftrightarrow$

$$1 = (h'k)^{-1}kh' \Leftrightarrow 1 = \underbrace{k^{-1}h'^{-1}}_H \underbrace{kh'}_K \in H \cap K = \{1\}$$

Observación: Hemos visto que en esta situación $hk = kh \quad \forall k \in K, \forall h \in H$
 (lo cual es mucho más que decir que $KH = HK$ y mucho menos que decir que K y H están contenidos en el centro de G)

• Como en otras situaciones, este resultado se generaliza al caso de un número finito de subgrupos:

Theorem 5.12. Let G be a group and let H_1, \dots, H_n be normal subgroups of G with the property that

$$(33) \quad H_j \cap (H_1 \dots H_{j-1} H_{j+1} \dots H_n) = \{1\}$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$(34) \quad H_1 \dots H_n \cong H_1 \times \dots \times H_n.$$

Lo importante es que si $H \triangleleft G \Rightarrow HK$ es un grupo y si, además, $K \triangleleft G \Rightarrow \Rightarrow HK \cong H \times K$ y el isomorfismo viene dado por

$$H \times K \xrightarrow{f} HK$$

$$(h, k) \longmapsto hk$$

¿Y qué pasa si K no es normal?
 ¿es todavía cierto que $HK \cong H \times K$?
 ¿es todavía cierto que f es un homom? \Downarrow
 Nos estamos preguntando si en el caso $K \not\triangleleft G$ se verifica todavía:

$$\underbrace{f(h, k) f(h', k')} = f((h, k)(h', k')) = \underbrace{f(hh', kk')}_{hh'kk'}$$

$$hk h' k^{-1} k k' = f(h \cdot k h' k^{-1}, k k')$$

Esto lo que me dice es que $f(h, k) f(h', k')$ no coincide con $f(hh', kk')$ sino con $f(h \cdot k h' k^{-1}, k k')$

Entonces f sería un homomorfismo si

hubiéramos definido la operación en $H \times K$ de la siguiente manera:

$$(h, k) * (h', k') = (h \cdot \underbrace{kh'k^{-1}}_{\tau(k)(h')}, kk')$$

Pero, claro, esta operación no parece que vaya a definir una estructura de grupo

• Sin embargo sí que lo va a ser

y el correspondiente grupo se va a llamar producto semidirecto de H y K

y se va a denotar por $H \rtimes K$.

Una vez que lo probemos vamos a tener:

Theorem 5.29. Let G be a group. Let H be a normal subgroup of G . Let K be a subgroup of G . Assume that

$$H \cap K = \{1\}.$$

Let

$$\tau: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$$

be given by

$$\tau(k)(h) := khk^{-1}.$$

Then $HK \cong H \rtimes K$.

If in particular $G = HK$ then $G \cong H \rtimes K$, via the isomorphism $f: H \rtimes K \xrightarrow{\cong} G = HK$
 $(h, k) \mapsto hk$

Pero falta ver que esta operación define una estructura de grupo

$$(h, k) * (h', k') = (h \circ \tau(k)(h'), kk')$$

donde $\tau(k)(h') = kh'k^{-1}$

observación $\tau: K \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ homom
 $k \longmapsto \tau(k): H \longrightarrow khk^{-1}$

Ma's generalmente vamos a tener:

PRODUCTOS SEMIDIRECTOS

Theorem 5.20. Let H and K be groups and let

$$\rho : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) \quad (\text{e.g. nuestro \& anterior})$$

be a group homomorphism. We define a binary operation \star_ρ on the set $H \times K$ by

$$(h, k) \star_\rho (h', k') := (h \cdot (\rho(k)(h')), k \cdot k'). \quad (\text{luego si } \rho(k) \equiv \text{id, este es el producto usual})$$

Then the following claims are valid.

- (i) The pair $(H \times K, \star_\rho)$ is a group of order $|H||K|$ que se denota por $H \rtimes_\rho K$.
 (ii) The sets

$$\tilde{H} := \{(h, 1) : h \in H\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K} := \{(1, k) : k \in K\}$$

are subgroups of $(H \times K, \star_\rho)$ and the maps $h \mapsto (h, 1)$ for $h \in H$ and $k \mapsto (1, k)$ for $k \in K$ define isomorphisms

$$H \cong \tilde{H} \quad \text{and} \quad K \cong \tilde{K}.$$

- (iii) The subgroup \tilde{H} is normal in $(H \times K, \star_\rho) \cong H \rtimes_\rho K$.
 (iv) $\tilde{H} \cap \tilde{K} = \{1\}$ ~~...~~ En particular, $H \rtimes_\rho K = \tilde{H} \tilde{K}$.
 (v) For $x = (h, 1) \in \tilde{H}$ and $y = (1, k) \in \tilde{K}$ one has

$$\tau(y)(x) := y \star_\rho x \star_\rho y^{-1} = (\rho(k)(h), 1).$$

(vi) La aplicación $f: \tilde{H} \times \tilde{K} = \tilde{H} \times \tilde{K} \rightarrow H \rtimes_\rho K$ es un isomorfismo.
 $(h, 1), (1, k) \mapsto (h, 1) \star_\rho (1, k) = (h, k)$

Proof. We first show \star_ρ is associative. Let $a, b, c \in H$ and $x, y, z \in K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((a, x) \star_\rho (b, y)) \star_\rho (c, z) &= (a(\rho(x)(b)), xy) \star_\rho (c, z) \\ &= (a(\rho(x)(b))(\rho(xy)(c)), xyz) \\ &= (a(\rho(x)(b))(\rho(x)(\rho(y)(c))), xyz) \\ &= (a(\rho(x)(b(\rho(y)(c)))), xyz) \\ &= (a, x) \star_\rho (b(\rho(y)(c)), yz) \\ &= (a, x) \star_\rho ((b, y) \star_\rho (c, z)). \end{aligned}$$

The element $(1, 1)$ is the identity because

$$(h, k) \star_\rho (1, 1) = (h(\rho(k)(1)), k1) = (h1, k1) = (h, k) \\ = (\rho(1)(h), k) = (1(\rho(1)(h)), 1k) = (1, 1) \star_\rho (h, k).$$

homom.
 $\rho: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$
 $1 \mapsto \text{id}$

Given $(h, k) \in H \times K$ the inverse element is

$$(h, k)^{-1} := (\rho(k^{-1})(h^{-1}), k^{-1}).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (h, k) \star_{\rho} (h, k)^{-1} &= (h, k) \star_{\rho} (\rho(k^{-1})(h^{-1}), k^{-1}) \\
 &= (h(\rho(k)(\rho(k^{-1})(h^{-1}))), kk^{-1}) \stackrel{\text{id}}{=} (h(\underbrace{\rho(k\rho(k^{-1}))}_{id})(h^{-1}), kk^{-1}) \\
 &= (h(\rho(k)(\rho(k)^{-1}(h^{-1}))), kk^{-1}) \\
 &= (hh^{-1}, kk^{-1}) \\
 &= (1, 1) \\
 &= (\rho(k^{-1})(1), 1) \\
 &= (\rho(k^{-1})(h^{-1}h), k^{-1}k) = \\
 &= ((\rho(k^{-1})(h^{-1}))(\rho(k^{-1})(h)), k^{-1}k) \\
 &= (\rho(k^{-1})(h^{-1}), k^{-1}) \star_{\rho} (h, k) \\
 &= (h, k)^{-1} \star_{\rho} (h, k).
 \end{aligned}$$

The order of the group $(H \times K, \star_{\rho})$ is just the cardinality of $H \times K$ which is just $|H||K|$.

This completes the proof of claim (i).

(ii) We have

$\tilde{H} = \{(h, 1)\}$ es un subgrupo de $H \rtimes H$

$(e: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H))$
 $\downarrow \mapsto \text{id}$

$$(40) \quad (h, 1) \star_{\rho} (h', 1) = (h(\rho(1)(h')), 11) = (hh', 1)$$

and

$$(h, 1)^{-1} = (\rho(1)(h^{-1}), 1) = (h^{-1}, 1)$$

so \tilde{H} is a subgroup of G . Moreover the function $f_H : H \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ given by $f_H(h) = (h, 1)$ is a homomorphism because (40) implies that

$$f_H(hh') = (hh', 1) = (h, 1) \star_{\rho} (h', 1) = f_H(h) \star_{\rho} f_H(h').$$

Since f_H is clearly a bijection, it is an isomorphism $H \cong \tilde{H}$.

We have

$$(41) \quad (1, k) \star_{\rho} (1, k') = (1(\rho(k)(1)), kk') = (11, kk') = (1, kk')$$

and

$$(1, k)^{-1} = (\rho(k^{-1})(1), k^{-1}) = (1, k^{-1})$$

so \tilde{K} is a subgroup of G . Moreover the function $f_K : K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ given by $f_K(k) = (1, k)$ is a homomorphism because (41) implies that

$$f_K(kk') = (1, kk') = (1, k) \star_{\rho} (1, k') = f_K(k) \star_{\rho} f_K(k').$$

Since f_K is clearly a bijection, it is an isomorphism $K \cong \tilde{K}$. This completes the proof of claim (ii).

(iv) It is clear that $\tilde{H} \cap \tilde{K} = \{1\}$ and also that $\tilde{H} \tilde{K} = \tilde{H} \tilde{K} = (H \times K, \star_{\rho})$, so (iv) is valid.

Además vemos que en esta situación $f: \tilde{H} \times \tilde{K} \rightarrow H \rtimes K = G$
 $(x, y) \mapsto xy$

era inyectiva. Luego:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 |\tilde{H} \times \tilde{K}| &= |\text{Im } f| = |\tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{K}| \\
 |H \times K| &= |H||K| = |H \rtimes K|
 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{K}}_{\text{Im } f} = H \rtimes K.$$

We prove claim (v) before proving claim (iii). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1, k) \star_{\rho} (h, 1) \star_{\rho} (1, k)^{-1} &= (1(\rho(k)(h)), k1) \star_{\rho} (1, k)^{-1} \\
 &= (\rho(k)(h), k) \star_{\rho} (1, k^{-1}) \\
 &= ((\rho(k)(h))(\rho(k)(1)), kk^{-1}) \\
 &= (\rho(k)(h)1, kk^{-1}) \\
 &= (\rho(k)(h), 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(H \times K, \star_{\rho}) = H \rtimes_{\rho} K$$

This proves claim (v).

iii) Now claim (v) implies in particular that $\tilde{K} \subseteq N_{(H \times K, \star_{\rho})}(\tilde{H})$. Since ~~obviously~~ obviously $\tilde{H} \subseteq N_{(H \times K, \star_{\rho})}(\tilde{H})$, ~~and~~ and $\tilde{H}\tilde{K} = H \rtimes_{\rho} K$

it follows that ~~$N_{(H \times K, \star_{\rho})}(\tilde{H}) = H \rtimes_{\rho} K$~~ $N_{(H \times K, \star_{\rho})}(\tilde{H}) = H \rtimes_{\rho} K$

This means that \tilde{H} is normal in $(H \times K, \star_{\rho})$, which proves claim (iii), and completes the proof. vi) follows from Th. 5.29 (el anterior a este). \square

Definition 5.21. Let H and K be groups and let

$$\rho : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$$

be a group homomorphism. The group $(H \times K, \star_{\rho})$ is the 'semidirect product of H and K with respect to ρ ' and is denoted by $H \rtimes_{\rho} K$, or simply by $H \rtimes K$ when ρ is clear from context. *y especialmente cuando $\rho(k) = \text{conjugación por } k$, como en los casos anteriores.*

Notation 5.22. We use the canonical isomorphisms described in Theorem 5.20 (ii) to identify both H of K with subgroups of $H \rtimes_{\rho} K$, and so we henceforth drop the notation \tilde{H} , \tilde{K} and simply write H and K in their place. As usual, we often drop the binary operation \star_{ρ} from all notation.

Remark 5.23. The symbol $H \rtimes K$ reminds us that, under the identifications of Notation 5.22, H is a normal subgroup of $H \rtimes K$, while K is not necessarily normal in $H \rtimes K$. Unlike the direct product \times , the semidirect product \rtimes is certainly not symmetric.

El caso $K \trianglelefteq H \rtimes K$ solo ocurre cuando $H \rtimes K \cong H \times K$ pues ya vimos que $H \rtimes K = \tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{K} \cong \tilde{H} \times \tilde{K} = H \times K$ (si \tilde{K} está también normal)

Ejemplo 1 : $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong D_6 \cong S_3$

$$\rho(1): \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

$$x \mapsto -x$$

(ii) Let

$$\rho : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$$

be given by $\rho(0)(x) = x$ and by $\rho(1)(x) = -x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that ρ is a homomorphism. Then the group $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a non-abelian group of order 6 and

therefore it's going to be isomorphic to $S_3 \cong D_6$.

$$(0, 1) \star (1, 0) = (0 + \rho(1)(1), 1+0) = (0 + (-1), 1) = (-1, 1) = (2, 1)$$

$$(1, 0) \star (0, 1) = (1 + \rho(0)(0), 0+1) = (1+0, 1) = (1, 1) \neq (2, 1) \Rightarrow \text{no es abel} \Rightarrow \text{es } S_3.$$

$$\rho(1+1) = \rho(2) = \rho(0) = \text{Id}$$

$$(\rho(1) \circ \rho(1))x = \rho(1)(\rho(1)(x)) = \rho(1)(-x) = x$$

i.e. $\rho(1) \circ \rho(1) = \text{Id}$

De hecho, la aplicación

$$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_6$$

given by

$$(0,0) \mapsto 1, (1,0) \mapsto r, (2,0) \mapsto r^2, (0,1) \mapsto s, (1,1) \mapsto sr, (2,1) \mapsto sr^2$$

is an isomorphism.

$(0,1) * (0,1) = (0 + \rho(1)0, 1+1) = (0, 2) = (0,0) \Rightarrow (0,1)$ es de orden 2
 $(1,0) * (1,0) = (1 + \rho(0)1, 0+0) = (1+1, 0) = (2,0) \Rightarrow (1,0)$ tiene que ser de orden 3
 $(0,1) * (1,0) * (0,1) = (2,1) * (0,1) = (2 + \rho(1)0, 1+1) = (2,0) \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong D_6$

Ejemplo 2

(iii) More generally, let

$$\rho : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

be given by $\rho(0)(x) = x$ and by $\rho(1)(x) = -x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that ρ is a homomorphism. Then the group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a non-abelian group of order $2n$.

$$\left(\mathbb{F}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

and contains $H = \{(0,0), (1,0), (2,0), \dots, (n-1,0)\}$ as a normal subgroup. In fact, as a straightforward generalisation of part (ii), one sees that

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_{2n}.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(x) : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \mapsto 0 \\ 1 \mapsto 1 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \\ x \mapsto \rho(x) = \text{id} \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

i.e. $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \{\text{id}\}$

¡importa el orden!

Ejemplo 3 $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, $\rho: \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$

$\rho: \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$

$k=0,1,2,3$

$\rho(k)(x) = (-1)^k x$ non.
 $\rho(1+2)x = \rho(3)x = -x$
 $(\rho(1) \circ \rho(2))x = (\rho(1))(\rho(2)x) = \rho(1)(-x) = -(-x) = x$

(v) More generally, for any abelian group H , let

$\rho: \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$

be given by $\rho(k)(x) = (-1)^k x$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$ and for $x \in H$. It is easy to see that ρ is a homomorphism.

As an example we consider the case $H = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $n = 2$. The group $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ has order 12 and we claim that it is non-abelian, so for instance it cannot have isomorphism class C_{12} , or isomorphism class $C_2 \times C_6$. We also claim that it is not isomorphic to D_{12} or to A_4 . In this way, we have used the semidirect product to construct a genuinely new group that we had not encountered previously.

We have

$(1,1)(2,0) = (1 + \rho(1)(2), 1 + 0) = (1 - 2, 1) = (2,1)$

while

$(2,0)(1,1) = (2 + \rho(0)(1), 0 + 1) = (2 + 1, 1) = (0,1)$

so $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is non-abelian.

In addition D_{12} and A_4 do not have any elements of order 4, but $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ contains the cyclic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ of order 4 (which is a **THERE IS A** unique Sylow 3-subgroup). Therefore $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ cannot be isomorphic to either D_{12} or A_4 . *because it is normal*

$\left. \begin{aligned} (0,1) * (0,1) &= (0,2) \\ (0,1) * (0,1) * (0,1) &= (0,3) \\ (0,1) * (0,1) * (0,1) * (0,1) &= (0,4) = (0,0) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ord}(0,1) = 4$

A_4 no tiene elementos de orden 4: los únicos elementos de orden 4 de S_4 son de la forma $(a,b,c,d) \notin A_4$ $\text{sign} = -1$

luego $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \neq A_4$

Lo mismo para D_{12} : tampoco tiene elementos de orden 4:

$D_{12} = D_{2,6} = \left\{ \underbrace{1, r, r^2, r^3, r^4, r^5}_{\text{orden } 6}, \underbrace{s, sr, sr^2, sr^3, sr^4, sr^5}_{\text{orden } 2} \right\}$